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One of the more stunning examples of the resourcefulness of human vision is the ability to see ‘biological motion’, which was first shown with an adaptation of earlier cinematic work8: illumination of only the joints of a walking person is enough to convey a vivid, compelling impression of human animation, although the percept collapses to a jumble of meaningless lights when the walker stands still. The information is sufficient to interpret the sex and other details of the walker3,4, and can be used by young infants5. Here we measure the ability of the visual system to integrate this type of motion information over space and time, and compare this capacity with that for viewing simple translational motion. Sensitivity to biological motion increases with the number of illuminated joints, far more rapidly than for simple motion. Furthermore, this information is summed over extended temporal intervals of up to 3 seconds (eight times longer than for simple motion). The steepness of the summation curves indicates that the mechanisms that analyse biological motion do not integrate linearly over space and time with constant efficiency, as may occur for other forms of complex motion6, but instead adapt to the nature of the stimulus.

Biological motion was produced on a video display by an adaptation of a standard cyclic algorithm7 that emulates the motion of the 11 main joints of a person walking on a treadmill. Subjects were required either to detect the presence of the walker or to discriminate the direction of walking, in the presence of variable amounts of dynamic random noise. Similar tasks were performed for simple translational motion, for which a random pattern of dots was continuously displaced horizontally within a window of dimensions similar to that of the walker. We adapted the standard biological-motion technique so that each point had a ‘limited lifetime’ of two frames, after which it disappeared and was redrawn in another randomly chosen position (see Fig. 1 and Methods). This allowed for dynamic undersampling of the entire dot pattern, ensuring that, even at the lowest sampling rates, all 11 joints were likely to be represented during the 1,200-ms exposure time.

Figure 2 shows how sensitivity (expressed as maximum tolerable noise) for detection and direction discrimination varied with the number of displayed points for the two types of motion. For translational motion, sensitivity for both detection and discrimination increased with dot number at a very similar rate, almost linearly for both subjects tested (log–log slope is roughly at unity). For biological motion, the summation curves for detection were very similar to those for detection of translation, in both slope and absolute sensitivity. But the direction-discrimination curves were far steeper, with log–log slopes of about 3 or more (indicating a cubic or higher relationship).

Figure 3 shows how sensitivity to the walker varied with exposure time (temporal summation). As before, we used a limited-lifetime paradigm, with six dots displayed. Sensitivity to both simple and biological motion increased with time, first rapidly then more gradually. In comparable studies, it was thought that the initial rapid increase reflects physiological summation, whereas the gradual phase reflects ‘probability summation’ (a statistical improvement based on recruitment of independent detectors rather than summation within a single unit8). The intersection of these two curves gives an estimate of the time constant of the
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The results indicate several new facts about biological motion. They demonstrate objectively the robustness of this curious stimulus; the visual system is very sensitive to fragments of natural motion scenes: observers reliably detected the direction of motion of point-lit figures in greatly degraded conditions, when half of the points were of opposite polarity, local-movement trajectories were given by two-frame sequences, and up to 1,000 similar dots were sprinkled over the limited area. Indeed, with adequate spatial sampling, sensitivity for discriminating the direction of ambulation was as high as that for its detection, or for detection of discrimination of direction of simple translation. Temporal summation for biological motion occurs over much longer periods than for simple translation, and seems to be limited by the number of cycles of ambulation, rather than by total duration of the stimulus.

Theoretically, sensitivity might be expected to increase linearly with the spatial sampling density, as observed in discrimination of Glass patterns, simple translational motion and complex optic-flow patterns. The same relationship occurred here for detection and discrimination of translation, and for detection of biological motion. This latter result may reflect independent integration of signals along the trajectory of each joint by local-motion mechanisms, given that the sampling rate of each trajectory will vary directly with the sampling rate of the joints, and that detection of a single joint is sufficient to reveal the location of the walker.

Direction and coherence discrimination of biological motion varied more with undersampling than did detection, so, at low sampling rates, direction could not be discerned at noise levels that were nearly two logarithmic units below the detection threshold. If there were a linear integrator (or matched filter) that sums the motion signal along all joint trajectories, then sensitivity for direction and coherence discrimination should increase linearly with sampling rate, as it does for the examples mentioned above. The far steeper slope observed for biological motion may indicate that these types of task are mediated by detectors with efficiency that varies dynamically with the signal strength. It has been suggested that biological motion depends on virtual links between appropriate joints, requiring the simultaneous analysis of both joints. This idea is intuitively appealing, but the requirement of coincidence does not in itself predict the steep summation, because it affects both signal and noise equally, so the theoretical prediction is still a curve of unit slope (or an even shallower slope, depending on the assumptions about the coincidences produced by random noise).

Our results indicate that there is probably no specialized hardware for biological motion that acts like an ideal detector, as seems to exist for other forms of simple and complex motion or spatial vision. Instead, the results show that biological motion may be analysed by very sensitive, but flexible, mechanisms with variable efficiency. One method of achieving variable efficiency would be by a system that adjusts its internal noise (or threshold) to respond optimally to the stimulus under all conditions. The advantage of such a system over the seemingly simpler matched-filter systems may be that it can optimize a limited neural resource for the analysis of the much wider range of stimuli that can yield information about spatial structure from motion.

**Methods**
Both biological and translational motion were created with a ‘limited-lifetime’ technique, at a sampling rate of 30 Hz (Fig. 1). Each signal dot moved to the next position in the motion sequence, then disappeared; it was ‘reborn’ at a

**Figure 2** Sensitivity, expressed as the number of noise dots at threshold, for detection (filled circles) and direction discrimination (open circles), for both simple translation and biological motion. The open triangles indicate results for ‘incoherent’ motion; in this case, the upper and lower body moved either in the same or in opposite directions. The steepness of the log–log curves (calculated linear regression) for subjects S.M. and P.N. (respectively) were: 1.10 and 0.96 for translation detection; 1.24 and 1.08 for translation discrimination; 1.29 and 1.12 for detection of biological motion; 4.23 and 2.55 for discrimination of biological motion; and 4.48 for discriminating coherent from incoherent motion (P.N.). S.M. was naive of the goals of the study.

**Figure 3** Sensitivity for direction discrimination, for both translation and biological motion. The following range of image speeds was used: 1.3 deg s$^{-1}$ (filled squares) and 7.3 deg s$^{-1}$ (open squares) for translation; and 1.3 deg s$^{-1}$ (filled circles) and 3.8 deg s$^{-1}$ (open circles) for biological motion, using the limited-lifetime procedure (with six joints) (see Methods). The dashed vertical lines indicate the transition from physiological to probability summation, calculated by best-fit of a two-segment template of variable slope. The summation constant for translation was relatively short (600 ms) and invariant with speed, whereas summation for biological motion was longer and varied inversely with image speed. The initial steep slope of $-2$ for all curves is predicted by a linear integrator given that the stimulus was embedded in a 7-s noise sequence. We obtained similar results with another subject (S.M.).
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The ability to distinguish colour from intensity variations is a difficult computational problem for the visual system because each of the three cone photoreceptor types absorb all wavelengths of light, although their peak sensitivities are at relatively short (S cones), medium (M cones), or long (L cones) wavelengths. The first stage in colour processing is the comparison of the outputs of different cone types by spectrally opponent neurons in the retina and upstream in the lateral geniculate nucleus (V1). Some V1 neurons respond to a single chromatic region, with either a short (68–95 ms) or a longer (96–135 ms) latency, whereas others respond to two chromatic regions with a difference in latency of 20–30 ms. Across all types, short latency responses are mostly evoked by L/M-opponent inputs whereas longer latency responses are evoked mostly by S-opponent inputs. Furthermore, neurons with late S-cone inputs exhibit dynamic changes in the sharpness of their chromatic tuning over time. We propose that the sparse, S-opponent signal in the lateral geniculate nucleus is amplified in area V1, possibly through recurrent excitatory networks. This results in a delayed, sluggish cortical S-cone signal which is then integrated with L/M-opponent signals to rotate the lateral geniculate nucleus chromatic axes.

The term ‘receptive field’ is used traditionally to characterize how a neuron responds to stimuli in different spatial locations, thus referring to a spatial receptive field. Here we are concerned with determining how a cortical neuron responds to stimuli consisting of chromatic shifts from a white point to different locations in colour space, and how this responsivity develops over time. We are therefore studying a chromatic–temporal receptive field. To examine the cortical transformation of lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) chromatic signals directly, we specified stimulus chromaticity in the MacLeod–Boynton–Derrington–Krauskopf–Lennie (MBDKL) isoluminant plane. This plane is defined by two axes whose chromaticities isolate responses from the two types of LGN opponent neuron: the 0° to 180° axis isolates responses from L/M-opponent LGN neurons (0°: L – M, ‘pinkish-red’; 180°: M – L, ‘cyan’) and the 90° to 270° axis isolates responses from S-opponent LGN neurons (90°: S – (L + M), ‘violet’; 270°: S + (L + M), ‘greenish-yellow’). A cortical cell that integrates signals from both types of colour-coding geniculate cell, as most cortical cells do, would have a preferred chromaticity at an intermediate angle, depending on the relative weights and timings of the cell’s inputs.

To study the structure of the chromatic–temporal receptive field, we probed neurons with spatially uniform chromatic stimuli presented in a fast (30-ms flashes), pseudorandom sequence and analysed the neuronal response using the reverse-correlation procedure. Stimulus dimensions were one to two times the classical receptive-field dimensions, as measured with m-sequence receptive-field mapping. For each action potential (spike) fired, we determined which chromatic stimulus had been presented at various preceding times. Spikes were accumulated in a two-dimensional
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